



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/651,654	08/30/2000	Satoshi Yashiro	CANO:013	2191	
7:	590 01/09/2004		EXAMINER		
Rossi & Associates			ALI, MOHAMMAD		
P O Box 826 Ashburn, VA	20146-0826		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1 10110 4111, 1 1 1		•	2177	12	
•			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004	, 12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1944		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/651,654	YASHIRO, SATOSH	 		
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mohammad Ali	2177			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 06 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancelNOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	•			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		idered but does NO	T place the		
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	cause it is not directed SOLELY	o issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	,				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		ohin S R			
10. Other:	X		vene		
1-	U	JOHN BREE	•		
	\$	SUPERVISORY PATEN TECHNOLOGY CEN			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Sano teaches "importance of the keywords in relation to the content of the image being reached,..." at col. 2, lines 45-61 et seq.